Three Independent Evaluations of Healthy Kids Programs Find Dramatic Gains in Well-Being of Children and Families
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This brief presents highlights from rigorous, independent evaluations of the Healthy Kids programs in three California counties, Los Angeles, San Mateo, and Santa Clara. Launched by Children's Health Initiatives (CHIs) in these counties between 2001 and 2003, the three Healthy Kids programs provide children with comprehensive health insurance coverage, including a broad range of medical and dental care, prescription drugs, and mental health services. Children are eligible for Healthy Kids if they are ineligible for California's two major state insurance programs, Medi-Cal and Healthy Families, and live in families with incomes up to 300 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) in Los Angeles and Santa Clara counties, and 400 percent of the FPL in San Mateo County. Most of the children enrolled in Healthy Kids have family incomes at or below the poverty level. This brief describes some of the many positive impacts that Healthy Kids programs have had on children, including improvements in their access to and use of medical services and reductions in their unmet need for care. (For more information on these and other study findings on the three programs, see http://www.urban.org and http://www.mathematica-mpr.com/health/chi.asp.)

Impact of Healthy Kids on Participating Children and Families

Healthy Kids programs ensure high quality care for children who would otherwise lack access to affordable health insurance coverage. Three of the largest programs—in Los Angeles, San Mateo, and Santa Clara counties—currently provide coverage to about 60,000 children living in widely varying communities in California. Before enrolling in Healthy Kids, few of these children had coverage for basic outpatient services, such as preventive and primary care, specialty dental care, or prescription medications. Moreover, many of these children never had any health insurance coverage, placing them at long-term risk for poor health care access and limited use of key services, such as preventive care.

Three ongoing, independent evaluations of the Healthy Kids programs in Los Angeles, San Mateo, and Santa Clara counties find overwhelming evidence that the programs improved medical care access and use among children who participated, which, in turn, improved the well-being of both the children and their families.

Access to Health Care Improves

Access to health care is fundamental to ensuring that children remain healthy and avoid unnecessary or prolonged illnesses, excess morbidity and missed school days. Two basic indicators of whether children have good health care access are (1) whether they have a usual source for health care, such as a local clinic or private doctor’s office; and (2) whether they have had a recent medical visit.
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Across all three Healthy Kids programs, children enrolled in Healthy Kids experienced dramatic improvements in both of these indicators when compared to similar children without Healthy Kids coverage. The proportion of children having a usual source of care was significantly higher with Healthy Kids, reaching about 90 percent in each county (Figure 1). Likewise, the proportion of children having a medical visit in the past six months was significantly higher among Healthy Kids enrollees, reaching 54 to 76 percent depending on the county (Figure 2).\(^1\) For example, in Santa Clara County, the proportion of children with a medical visit rose from 32 percent without Healthy Kids to 54 percent with Healthy Kids. This increase in visits is evident for all types of services examined—including preventive care, sick-child visits, and visits to specialists.

**Unmet Health Care Needs Decline**

Children with unmet medical needs—meaning a need for preventive, sick-child, or specialist care that has gone unaddressed—are more likely than other children to be at risk for poor health and increased morbidity. In all three programs, children enrolled in Healthy Kids experienced dramatically lower levels of unmet medical needs when compared to similar children without Healthy Kids coverage (Figure 3). In Los Angeles County, for example, 19 percent of children enrolled in Healthy Kids had an unmet medical need in the past six months compared to 32 percent of similar children without Healthy Kids—a difference of 13 percentage points. In San Mateo and Santa Clara counties, the reductions in unmet need were similar.

\(^1\)The relatively high proportion of children having a medical visit in the past six months seen in Los Angeles, 76 percent among children with Healthy Kids coverage, is due in large part to the Los Angeles evaluation’s focus on children under age six, who are more frequent users of health care than older children.
As with the use of medical care, the reductions in unmet need are evident for all the services examined.

**Parents’ Confidence Grows**

Responding to these substantial improvements in their children’s health care, parents of children enrolled in Healthy Kids reported far more confidence that they could obtain care for their children when needed than parents of children not enrolled in Healthy Kids (Figure 4). In San Mateo County, for example, 66 percent of parents of children enrolled in Healthy Kids reported being “very confident” that their children could obtain needed health care, as compared with 42 percent of parents of children without Healthy Kids. Large gains are likewise evident among Healthy Kids families in the other two counties, Los Angeles and Santa Clara.

**Looking Forward**

This brief shows how Healthy Kids programs in California have improved the well-being of children and their families. In three diverse counties located in different regions of the state, children with Healthy Kids experienced dramatic improvements in their access to and use of medical care. In turn, children experienced sharp reductions in their unmet need for medical care and parents expressed far more confidence that they could obtain care for their children.

In the coming months, additional briefs will report on other findings from the evaluations of the Healthy Kids programs in Los Angeles, San Mateo, and Santa Clara counties. These future briefs will explore, for example, the impact of the Healthy Kids programs on children’s use of dental care and how the programs have affected important indicators of children’s health, such as the number of missed school days.
Data for this brief were collected from household surveys conducted in Los Angeles, Santa Clara, and San Mateo counties as part of comprehensive evaluations of the Children's Health Initiatives in these counties. The Los Angeles survey was conducted between April and December 2005 with 1,087 families; the San Mateo survey was conducted between April 2006 and January 2007 with 1,404 families; and the Santa Clara county survey was conducted from August 2003 to July 2004 with 1,235 families. Response rates on these surveys were 86 percent, 77 percent, and 89 percent, respectively.

All surveys were conducted via computer-assisted telephone interviews, primarily in Spanish and English. Each survey asked families about a sampled child who had enrolled in the Healthy Kids program. In Los Angeles, the sampled children were under age 6; in the other two counties, the sampled children ranged from ages 0 to 18. While there were some differences in the types of questions asked, and in the wording of some questions across the three surveys, the differences do not affect the results presented in this brief.

To measure the impacts of Healthy Kids within each county, two groups of children were sampled and compared in each county: (1) “established enrollee” children who had been enrolled in Healthy Kids for roughly one year, and (2) “recent enrollee” children who were selected for the sample at the time they were enrolling in Healthy Kids. The established enrollee samples serve as the treatment groups for the studies by providing a measure of the access to and use of health care services among children who have Healthy Kids coverage. Survey questions asked about these children’s access, use, and other health care experiences in their most recent six months in the program. The recent enrollee samples serve as the comparison group for the studies. Survey questions asked about these children’s health care experiences in the six-month period before they enrolled in Healthy Kids, providing a measure of what the experiences of established enrollee children would have been in the absence of Healthy Kids. Impact estimates are based on regression models that control for important demographic and socioeconomic characteristics that might differ between the two groups, such as the child’s age and race/ethnicity, the parents’ income, and the length of time the family has lived in the county.
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